1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 JAMES RAY DAVIDSON, CASE NO. 3:18-cv-05900-RBL-DWC 11 Plaintiff, REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION 12 v. Noting Date: March 1, 2019 13 CLARK COUNTY, et al., Defendants. 14 15 The District Court has referred this action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to United States 16 Magistrate Judge David W. Christel. James Ray Davidson, proceeding pro se, initiated this civil 17 rights action on November 5, 2018. See Dkt. 1. On December 7, 2018, the Court screened 18 Plaintiff's Complaint, found it was deficient, and declined to rule on Plaintiff's Motion for Leave 19 to Proceed In Forma Pauperis ("Motion for IFP"). Dkt. 3. The Court ordered Plaintiff to correct 20 the deficiencies by January 11, 2019. Id. The Court warned Plaintiff failure to file an amended 21 complaint or adequately address the issues identified in the Order would result in the Court 22

recommending dismissal of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Id. On December 11, 2018,

the Clerk received an email indicating Plaintiff had been transferred to a new facility. Dkt. 4. The

23

1	Clerk updated Plaintiff's address in CM/ECF and "regenerated notice for [the Court's Order,
2	Dkt. 3]," confirming the Clerk sent the Court's Order to Plaintiff at his new facility. Dkt. 4. To
3	date, the Court has received no response from Plaintiff. See Dkt.
4	As Plaintiff's deadline to respond to the Court's Order passed nearly a month ago and
5	Plaintiff has failed not responded the Court's Order or prosecuted his case, the Court
6	recommends Plaintiff's Motion for IFP (Dkt. 1) be denied and this case be dismissed without
7	prejudice.
8	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the parties shall have
9	fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P.
10	6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of <i>de novo</i>
11	review by the district judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Accommodating the time limit
12	imposed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on
13	March 1, 2019, as noted in the caption.
14	
15	Dated this 8th day of February, 2019.
16	M. Muito
17	David W. Christel
18	United States Magistrate Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	